

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/678,823	10/03/2003	Thomas D. Gens	00-164.1	1131
7:	590 11/18/2004		EXAM	NER
Michael B. McNeil			OMGBA, ESSAMA	
Liell & McNeil	Attorneys P.C.			<u>. – . </u>
P.O. Box 2417	•	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomington, IN 47402			3726	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A - 1' Occurrence	10/678,823	GENS, THOMAS D			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Essama Omgba	3726			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
·— ·	- action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	have been received. have been received in Applications to the contraction of the contract	on No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	' ' '	d.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/678,823

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 4, line 8, "pistons" should read --piston--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woolcott (US Patent 3,459,253).

With regards to claim 12, Woolcott discloses a method of casting pistons comprising positioning a central bore core 5 in a mold (col. 2, lines 66-68), positioning a ring shaped core 1 in the mold to encircle the central bore core (col. 2, lines 58-63 and fig.1), pouring metal around the ring shaped core and the central bore core to produce a casting and removing the ring shaped core from the casting (col. 2, lines 68-72).

Applicant should note that the preamble has not been given any patentable weight. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process

Application/Control Number: 10/678,823

Art Unit: 3726

steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

For claims 13-15, see column 2, lines 62-66 and figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolcott.

With regards to claim 12, Woolcott discloses a method of casting pistons comprising positioning a central bore core 5 in a mold (col. 2, lines 66-68), positioning a ring shaped core 1 in the mold to encircle the central bore core (col. 2, lines 58-63 and fig.1), pouring metal around the ring shaped core and the central bore core to produce a casting and removing the ring shaped core from the casting (col. 2, lines 68-72).

Although Woolcott's method is directed to casting pistons, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that Woolcott's method could be used to cast axial pump barrels.

For claims 13-15, see column 2, lines 62-66 and figure 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,823

Art Unit: 3726

For claim 16, Applicant should note that although Woolcott uses a ring shaped core that is removed by dissolution, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that using a ring shaped core that is removed by breaking the ring core into small pieces is an obvious matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or unexpected results obtained in using a dissoluble ring shaped core versus one that is removed by being broken in small pieces.

6. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolcott in view of Chacin U. et al. (US Patent 5,131,466).

With regards to claims 17 and 18, Woolcott discloses a method for making an axial piston pump barrel as shown above except for machining a plurality of parallelly oriented openings in the casting and attaching a plurality of check valves to the casting. However Chacin U. et al. teaches will parallelly oriented openings and check valves 18 attached to the barrel, see column 1, lines 15-19, column 2, lines 41-45, column 3, lines 41-47 and figure 2. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have machined a plurality of parallelly oriented openings in the casting of Woolcott and had attached check valves to the casting, in light of the teachings of Chacin U. et al., in order to in order to allow for the flow of fluid in the barrel.

For claims 19 and 20, see column 2, lines 45-47 of Chacin U. et al. Applicant should note that it within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to provide appropriate valve seats for the check valves.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/678,823

Art Unit: 3726

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (703) 305-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Essama Omgba Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3726